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## Approaches to the compilation of collocations dictionaries

- manual
- semi-automatic
- MacMillan Collocations Dictionary
- automatic

Automatic Collocations Dictionaries - corpus-derived electronic databases of recurrent word combinations

- automatically generated listings
- positional extraction methods
- relational extraction methods
e.g. SkELL, HASK collocation dictionaries, Wortprofil 2012


# Adam Kilgarriff (29.09.2014): <br> the best approach may be for LCL to provide a 'dump' of the data (headwords + collocations + examples) from which you then choose collocations and examples in different software, maybe a spreadsheet. I know you were not so keen on that approach, but it could be a lot faster - do reconsider 

## Estonian Collocations Dictionary:

## general conception

- monolingual online scholarly dictionary
- for learners of Estonian as a foreign language or second language at the upper intermediate and advanced levels
- 10,000 headwords, incl. single lexical items and multiword verbs
- only content words as headwords: nouns (68\%), adjectives (14\%), verbs (15\%) and adverbs (3\%)
- corpus-driven
- the primary source: Estonian National Corpus (463 million words)
- collocations are listed on the basis of frequency
- collocates are presented in lemma form, e.g. hea laul (good-ADJ-SG-NOM song-SG-NOM) 'good song' and in word form , e.g. viil leiba (slice-SG-NOM bread-SG-PART) 'slice of bread'
- two levels:
- collocations were grouped according to the lexico-grammatical structure formed by the collocational phrase, e.g. Adj+N (adjective+noun) or Adv+V (adverb+verb)
- noun+verb collocations are sub-grouped according to the syntactical function of nouns (subject, object or adverbial)
- example sentences


## Estonian Collocations Dictionary: compilation stages

Stage I: automatic compilation of database (2014)

Stage II: lexicographic analysis and editing of the database
-sense division
-writing definitions
-adding style and domain labels
-adding collocations
-deleting all irrelevant information
-editing of example sentences
-identifying multiword lexical items and phraseology


## Tools

- the 463-million-word Estonian National Corpus https://the.sketchengine.co.uk/auth/corpora/
- corpus query system Sketch Engine (Kilgarriff et al., 2004)
- Word List
- Word Sketch
- GDEX
- EELex dictionary writing system (Jürviste et al., 2013)


## Automatic generation of the database

- extraction of information from Sketch Engine in an XML-format
- import of information into the EELex dictionary writing system

What do we need:

- a selection of lemmas
- fine-grained Sketch Grammar
- GDEX (Kilgarriff et al., 2008) configuration
- settings for extraction

```
Adam Kilgarriff (30.09.2014):
LCL to prepare a db for 50-100 hwds
to specify
    - gramrel (or a list of gramrels in a file)
    - GDEX configuration
    - number of examples per collocate
    - number of collocates per grammatical relation
    - minimum frequency of a collocate
    - minimum frequency of a grammatical relation
We shall
sort by frequency
Min freq=10
+ve Dice
We'll come back to TBL approaches if this does not give everything that is wanted
```

eLex 2015, UK

## Headword list development

Source: Estonian National Corpus

Tool: Word list option of Sketch Engine

Two frequency classes:


Class I: the most frequent 5,000 words, with a minimum frequency in EstonianNC of 5057
Class II: the 5,000 mid-frequency words, with a minimum frequency in EstonianNC of 1057
Problems:
"lemmatization and tagging mistakes
"multiword units
-proper nouns
-terms
-homonyms

## Sketch Grammar (version 1.6)

## 109 rules

- 16 unary-type rules
-four symmetric-type rules (päike ja tuul 'sun and wind', ilus ja noor 'beautiful and young')
- 16 dual-type rules, to search for co-occurrences of two lemmas, e.g.
päike + paistma 'sun + shine'
-73 colloc-type rules, to search for
- three-word collocations, e.g.

V_PP: hoolitsema X eest 'to take care of X '

- two-word collocations in a way that one component is presented as a lemma and the other one in the particular inflectional form, e.g.
kari lambaid (flock-SG-NOM sheep-PL-PART) 'flock of sheep’
rääkima aktsendita (talk-INF accent-SG-ABE) 'talk without an accent'

Word Sketch for the homonyms
koor_l (choir-SG-NOM) : koori (choir-SG-GEN) vs.
koor_2 (peel-SG-GEN,cream -SG-NOM) : koore (peel-SG-GEN; cream-SG-GEN)

## KOOT $\begin{aligned} & \text { (common noun) } \\ & \text { EstonianNc } \text { freq }=\underline{27,820 ~(49.39 ~ p e r ~ m i t t i o n) ~}\end{aligned}$




## GDEX configurations: parameters of example sentences in the learner's dictionary, academic dictionary and WebCorpus

|  | Number of words | Average sentence length (words) | Average word length (characters) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substantives |  |  |  |
| learner's dictionary (BED) | 3-9 | 5.08 | 5.6 |
| academic dictionary (ED) | 3-12 | 6.42 | 6.7 |
| etTenTen13 | 4-40 | 15.8 | 5.2 |
| Adjectives |  |  |  |
| learner's dictionary | 3-10 | 5.08 | 5.3 |
| academic dictionary | 5-11 | 6.44 | 6.7 |
| etTenTen13 | 3-37 | 15 | 5.23 |
| Verbs |  |  |  |
| learner's dictionary | 3-7 | 4.36 | 6.21 |
| academic dictionary | 2-10 | 4.72 | 5.66 |
| etTenTen13 | 6-56 | 16.9 | 6 |
| Adverbs |  |  |  |
| learner's dictionary | 3-11 | 5.44 | 4.96 |
| academic dictionary | 3-13 | 5.74 | 6.1 |
| etTenTen13 | 7-42 | 16.8 | 5.64 |

eLex 2015, UK

## Subordinate clauses in the learner's dictionary, academic dictionary and WebCorpus

|  | Percentage of subordinate <br> clauses (\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Substantives |  |
| learner's dictionary (BED) | $0 \%$ |
| academic dictionary (ED) | $12 \%$ |
| etTenTen13 | $18 \%$ |
| Adjectives | $0 \%$ |
| learner's dictionary | $14 \%$ |
| academic dictionary | $58 \%$ |
| etTenTen13 | $8 \%$ |
| Verbs | $10 \%$ |
| learner's dictionary | $76 \%$ |
| academic dictionary | $20 \%$ |
| etTenTen13 | $16 \%$ |
| Adverbs | $76 \%$ |
| learner's dictionary |  |
| academic dictionary |  |
| etTenTen13 |  |

eLex 2015, UK

## GDEX configurations (version 1.0)

- whole sentences starting with capital letter and ending with (.), (!) or (?)
- sentences longer than five words
- sentences shorter than 20 words
- penalize sentences which contain words with a frequency of less than five words
- penalize sentences with words longer than 20 characters
- penalize sentences with more than two commas, or with brackets, colons, semicolons, hyphens, quotation marks and dashes
- penalize sentences with words starting with capital letters. Penalize sentences with H (=proper noun) and Y (=abbreviation) POS-tags
- penalize sentences with "bad words"
- penalize sentences with the pronouns mina 'I', sina 'you', tema 'he/she', see 'it' and too 'that', and the adverbs siin 'here' seal 'there'
- sentences shouldn't start with the pronouns mina 'I', sina 'you' or tema 'he/she', or the local adverbs e.g. siin 'here' and seal 'there'
- penalize sentences which start with punctuation marks (typical informal texts) and with J (=conjunction) POS-tags
- penalize sentences where lemmas are repeated
- penalize sentences with tokens containing mixed symbols (e.g. letters and numbers), URLs and email addresses


## Configuration file for GDEX

```
formula: >
    (50 * all(is_whole_sentence(), length > 5, length < 20, max([len(w) for w in words]) < 20, blacklist(words, illegal_chars), 1-
match(lemmas[0], adverbs_bad_start), min([word_frequency(w, 250000000) for w in words]) > 5)
    + 50 * optimal_interval(length, 10, 12)
    * greylist(words, rare_chars, 0.05) * 1.09
    * greylist(lemposs, anaphors, 0.1)
    * greylist(lemmas, bad_words, 0.25)
    * greylist(tags, abbreviation, 0.5)
    * (0.5 + 0.5 * (tags[0] != conjunction))
    * (1-0.5 * (tags[0]==verb) * match(featuress[0], verb_nonfinite_suffix))
    )/100
variables:
    illegal_chars: ([</\]\[>^\^@])
    rare_chars: ([A-Z0-9'.,!?)(;:-])
    conjunction: J
    abbreviation: Y
    anaphors: ^(mina-p|sina-p|tema-p|see-p|too-p|siin-d|seal-d)$
    adverbs_bad_start: ^(nagu|siin|siia|siit|seal|sinna|sealt|siis|seejärel)$
    verb: V
    verb_nonfinite_suffix: ^(mata|mast|mas|maks|des)$
```


## Settings for extraction

- for nouns 23 grammatical relations, for adjectives 9 gramrels, for verbs 27 gramrels, and for adverbs 5 gramrels
- the minimal frequency of the grammatical relation: $\mathbf{1 0}$
- the minimal salience of the grammatical relation: positive Dice
- the minimal frequency of a collocate:
- $\mathbf{1 0}$ (for the frequency I class)
- 5 (for the frequency II class)
- the minimal salience of a collocate: positive Dice
- the number of collocates
- 5-20 (depending on gramrel)
- the number of example sentences for a collocate: $\mathbf{5}$


## Generation of the database

- data were extracted from Sketch Engine in XML-format and
- imported into the dictionary writing system EELex

Database contains:

- 10,939 headwords
- 82,678 grammatical relations
- 493,971 collocates
- 2,469,855 example sentences
- the part-of-speech and overall frequency number of each headword
- the overall frequency of each gramrel and collocate
- the score of each gramrel and collocation

```
chaml vergion""1.6"75
41r
    - whatwordy
        clemmapatorclemmar
    4polstc/pois
    4/f04304721 - /freq)
    <gramrelp
        cgmam*SAUL_medifieru/qmames
        <efreqz30618-4/foq3
        4Mgore>1.240256c/ugore?
        * 4tolocitions
        coulg%uusc//collo?
```



```
        4k0;026.030433e/acores
        Gexamplar
            Uus
                            4b2*utar/b?
```



```
        c/uxamples
        cenampu%
            Ravalsen somtada uue
            ubymutom/by
            fa mark oleles hindlalt Suoda gctavia.
            </uxample?
            u-tampus
                    Ford nouab obitjait hatd tulomusi nlmg panustab samal ajal uue
                    cbsutterfor
                    ehlamises,
            Elaxamplat
            - cexamples
```



```
                    <bsautode-bs
                    Islinkuy kasutust.
            a/axample?
            cexamplas
```



```
                    4b-nutoc/bs
                    selpures
            ciunamples
        e/eflountons
        collocition
```

XML sample of generated database

## Presentation of the data in DWS

- $\langle x: A$ x:all $=$ "kse" $x: K F="$ kol3" $>$
    - <x:P>
    - <x:mg>
        - $\langle x: f \mathrm{fcl}\rangle 2$
        - $\langle\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{m} \mathrm{x}: \mathbf{O}=$ " $k$ rilitiliselt $">$ |crilitiliselt
        - <x:ssil>D
        - <x:freq> 3449
<x:S>
" <x:tp x:tnr=" 1 " $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$
    - <x:tg>
        - <x:dg>
        - <x:drarvustavalt, hindavalt
    - $\langle x:$ colp $\rangle$
        - <x:cmg x:csl=" ${ }^{\text {| }}$ " $\rangle$
            - 〈x:relg>
            - $\langle x: r e l n\rangle \sqrt{A d v}$ modifier
            - <x:rfr>372
            - $\langle x$ :rsc $\rangle 11.485423$

```
Teimetamisal2 XML Tabel N| 4>>
```

```
Teimetamisal2 XML Tabel N| 4>>
```

- <x:colg>
- <x:colloc>vāga kriitiliselt
- <xicol-văga
- <x:msj>|kriitiliselt
- <x:cfr>123
- <xicsc> 2.844166
- <xieng>
- $\langle x: c n\rangle$ Ta suhtub muutustesse väga \&ba;kriitiliselt \&bl;
- <x:colg>
- <x:colloc> Usna kriitiliselt
- <xicol Osna
- <x:msj>|kriitiliselt
- <x:cfr> 36
- <xicse> 3.538450
- <xicng>
- $\langle x: c n>$ Vallalised naised völvad mönikord meestesse Üsna \&ba;kriitiliselt\&bl; suhtuda.

A $\times(1 / 1)$ か
Yosds
kriitiliselt ( D) 3449
arvustavalt, hindavalt
Maärsōnaga
Adv_modifier 11.485423 )
vaga lcrutiliselt 123 ( 2.844166 )
tisna kruitiliselt $\mathbf{3 6}$ ( 3.538450 )
kullalkki kritilivelt 16 ( 5.288011 )
aärmiselt kritiliselt 14 (4.048611)
ulimalt kritiliselt 11 (4.997952)
pigem laritiliselt 10 (3.186219)
eriti lruitiliselt 9 ( 1.298513 )
linga kruitiliselt $7(0.702439)$
upris loritiliselt 6 ( 3.885173 )
pusavalt kritiliselt 5 ( 1.963071 )
Tegusơnaga
V_modifies 1025 ( 83.673737 ) kritiliselt hundama 220 ( 7.523677 ) kritiliselt suhtuma 194 ( 8.820604 ) krutiliselt analutisima 111 ( 8.266206 ) kritiliselt mőtlema 93 ( 4.907200 ) kritiliselt ule vaatama $\mathbf{4 5}$ ( 3.726917 ) kriitiliselt vaatama 20 ( 4.336175 ) kriitiliselt jalgima 20 (4.336175) loritiliselt uurima 19 ( 3.705802 ) kritiliselt kasitlema 15 (4.529477) lruitiliselt vaatlema 14 ( 6.033803 ) kritiliselt kusima 12 (2.078237) kriutiliselt lugema 11 ( 2.454377 ) kritiliselt markima 10 ( 2.522773 ) kritiliselt haavata saama 7 ( 6,408077 ) krutiliselt mōtestama 6 ( 6.479054 ) kritiliselt rảkima 6 ( 0.507969 )

Omadussǒnaga
Adj_modifies 161 (20.791937)
kritiliselt oluline $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( 0.874412
transformation of extacted collocations in DWS

## Lexicographic analysis and editing of the database: selecting collocates (1)

## Problems:

-quality of lemmatization, POS tagging and disambiguation
"outcome depends on corpus content (especially the influence of WebCorpus): internet language, slang and terminological collocations
-collocates extracted in lemma form need to be changed manually and presented in word form
-multiword collocations are extracted as duals
-statistics: raw frequency can be used as the basis (more suitable for A1 and A2 levels)
"salient collocates and statistical collocates in the range -5 to 5 should to be checked and added
-collocations of very frequent words often dominate and might not be relevant (e.g. mees 'man', naine 'women', support verbs, and modal verbs)
-multiword expressions (phrasal verbs, idioms) are not identified
" ja /või 'and/or' - gramrel, often not a the dual relationship, but part of a list, e.g.
koogid, küpsised, jäätis ja šokolaad 'cakes, biscuits, ice cream and chocolate'

# Lexicographic analysis and editing of the database: selecting collocates (2) 

Solutions:
-bigger corpora
"the longest-commonest match
-possibility of updating the database
-Sketch Grammar development (NB! collocational span)
eLex 2015, UK

## Lexicographic analysis and editing of the database: selecting examples

## Problems:

-outcome depends on corpus content (especially the influence of WebCorpus)
"no "good sentences" for low-frequency words
"other resources should be used, e.g. WebCorp, Google, Keeleveeb and Kollokatsioonituvastaja

## Solutions:

-bigger corpus
-GDEX development:
-testing of additional parameters, e.g.
second collocate (collocate of
collocate)
"position of lemma
-sorting according to GDEX score
(Kosem et al. 2013)


## Future development

- direct access to corpus
- access to statistical collocates
- more example sentences (pre-compiled corpus is needed)
- release of the dictionary: layout design
- options:
- print-like layout (horizontal or vertical listings)
- folded view (some units are folded away until clicked on)
- panel view (screen is split into parts)
- graph-based visualization
- cloud visualization
- combination of options, e.g.

HASK automatic collocation dictionaries

- new techniques for editing process:
- ? crowdsourcing
- user research
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## Dictionaries

BED = Eesti keele põhisõnavara sõnastik. 2014. J. Kallas, M. Tiits, M. Tuulik, M. Jürviste, K. Koppel (eds.). Tallinn: Eesti Keele Sihtasutus.
$\mathrm{ED}=$ Eesti keele seletav sõnaraamat I-VI [The Explanatory Dictionary of Estonian]. (2009). 2nd edition. M. Langemets, M. Tiits, T. Valdre, L. Veskis, Ü. Viks (eds.). Eesti Keele Instituut. Tallinn: Eesti Keele Sihtasutus.

